(39)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR
Writ Petition No. 3038 of 1995

Petitioners

1. Jagdish Phadnavees, son of Shri Ramkrishna Phadnavees, aged 29 years, A.R. Dish Centre, Manav Mandir Chowk, Cinema Line, Rajnandgaon.
2. Mohd. Elias Asharfi, son of Mohd. Ismail Asharfi, aged 25 years, Near Tulsipur Railway Crossing, Rajnandgaon.
3. Harjeet Singh Chabda, son of S. Chatar Singh Chabda, aged 26 years, Lucky dish Centre, C/o Harmendra Singh Wadhwa, Station Para, Ward No. 11, Rajnandgaon
4. Mohd. Rustam, son of Gulam Gouri, aged 30 years, Indira Nagar, Rajnandgaon.
5. S. Chatar Singh Chabda, son of Shri G. Harnam Singh Chabda, aged 55 years, Gurunanak Deoji Dish Centre, Baldevbagh, Ward No. 14, Rajnandgaon.
6. Gautam Kotadia, son of Late Premraj Kotadia, aged 36 years, Gudaku Lane, Rajnandgaon.
7. Jethanand Bhatia, son of Shri Tulsidas Bhatia, aged 55 years, Basantpur, Rajnandgaon.
8. Ajay Gyanchandani, son of Late Bishandas Gyanchandani, aged 25 years, New Civil Lines, Rajnandgaon.
9. Mohan Hinduja, son of Shri Hudraj, aged 24 years, Sahdev Nagar, Rajnandgaon.
10. Vijay Anand Soni, son of Late Hazari Soni, aged 28 years, Brahmanpara College Road, Rajnandgaon.
11. Raghuveer Singh Bhatia, son of Trilochan Singh Bhatia, aged 35 years, Kailash Nagar, Rajnandgaon.
12. Santosh Bhafna, son of Late Poonamchandji Bhafna, aged 50 years, Wardhman Nagar, Rajnandgaon.
13. Ramesh Kumar Lunia, son of Late Champalalji Lunia, aged 35 years, Markapara, Rajnandgaon.

(25)

Versus

Respondents

1. Union of India through the Secretary, Department Communication, New Delhi.
2. Post Master General, Raipur Region, Raipur.
3. Head Post Master, Head Post Office, Rajnandgaon.

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(SB: Hon'ble Mr. Satish K. Agnihotri, J.)

None appears for the petitioners.
Shri S.K. Beriwal, Standing counsel for the respondents.

ORDER
(Passed on this 2nd day of August, 2007)

1. The matter is called for hearing twice. On both occasions, none appears nor any representation is made on behalf of the petitioners. The petitioner may not be interested in the matter.
2. In view of the above, the writ petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.
3. The Registry is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the petitioners forthwith.

Sd/-
SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI
Judge

B/-